



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

STAAS & HALSEY LLP  
SUITE 700  
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

**COPY MAILED**

DEC 12 2006

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
TANAGUCHI et al.  
Application No. 09/461,335  
Filed: 12/15/1999  
Attorney Docket No. 826.1581/JDH

**DECISION ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition filed September 22, 2006, to withdraw the holding of abandonment for the above-identified application.

On May 3, 2006, the Office mailed a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, which set a three-month statutory period for reply. In the absence of a timely filed response, the application was held abandoned on August 4, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on September 13, 2006.

In the present petition, the practitioner requested that the Office withdraw the holding of abandonment due to non-receipt, remail the Notice of Allowance, and restart the period for reply.

**PETITION TO WITHDRAW THE HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT**

A review of the record indicates no irregularity in the mailing of the Notice of Allowance, and in the absence of any irregularity in the mailing, there is a strong presumption that the Notice of Allowance was properly mailed to the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Notice of Allowance was not in fact received. The showing required to establish non-receipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner, stating that the practitioner did not receive the Office communication and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. See MPEP 711.03(c)(I)(A). For example, if a three-month period for reply was set in the non-received Office communication, a copy of the docket report showing all replies docketed for a date three months from the mail date of the

non-received Office communication must be submitted as documentary proof of non-receipt of the Office communication. The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office communication may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Office communication was lost in the mail (*e.g.* if the practitioner has a history of not receiving Office communications).

After reviewing the documents submitted on petition, the Office concludes that the showing of record is sufficient to warrant withdrawal of the holding of abandonment. The practitioner for applicants submitted copies of docket records where the Notice of Allowance would have been entered had it been received and docketed. The practitioner attested to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicated that the Notice of Allowance was not received. Accordingly, applicants presented the required showing under 37 CFR 1.181.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **GRANTED**. No petition fee is required.

Technology Center Art Unit 2628 has been advised of this decision. The matter is being referred to the Technology Center's technical support staff for mailing of a new Notice of Allowance. The three (3) month shortened statutory time period for responding to the Notice of Allowance will be set to run from the mailing date of the new Notice of Allowance.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3211.



Christina Tartera Donnell  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions